

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,966	11/29/2000	Sangeetha Narasimhan	10003088-1	1711
7	590 03/03/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			PARK, CHAN S	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2622	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/726,966	NARASIMHAN, SANGEETHA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHAN S. PARK	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2006</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12)	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/726,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/3/06 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment was received on 1/3/06, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, **claims 1-5 and 7-11** are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-5 and 7-11** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

4. Claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2, line 2, "an operator-selected" should be -- the operator-selected --;

Claim 2, line 5, "a print media source" should be -- the print media source --;

Claim 3, line 2, "an operator-selected" should be -- the operator-selected --;

Application/Control Number: 09/726,966

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 3, line 5, "a print media source" should be -- the print media source --;
Claim 5, line 2, "an operator-selected" should be -- the operator-selected --; and
Claim 5, line 5, "a toner density setting" should be -- the toner density setting --.
Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mantell U.S. Patent No. 6,189,993.

5. With respect to claim 1, Mantell teaches a method for selecting a print job parameter (fig. 5) including the steps of:

a printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected toner density setting; and the printer controller automatically selecting a print media source based upon the operator-selected toner density setting (col. 7, lines 54-60).

Note that the printer controller automatically selects/highlights one of the media type as a recommendation to the user upon the selection of one of the print quality mode.

Art Unit: 2625

6. With respect to claim 2, Mantell teaches the method of claim 1 wherein, the step of printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected toner density setting comprises the printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected draft toner density setting; and

the step of the printer controller automatically selecting the print media source based upon the operator-selected toner density setting comprises the printer controller automatically selecting a draft media source based upon the operator-selected draft toner density setting (col. 7, lines 54-60).

According to the teachings of Mantell, it is apparent to one of ordinary skill in that art that an appropriate media type for the draft quality mode is selected/highlighted when the user selects the draft mode.

7. With respect to claim 3, Mantell teaches the method of claim 1 wherein, the step of printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected toner density setting comprises the printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected standard toner density setting; and

the step of the printer controller automatically selecting the print media source based upon the operator-selected toner density setting comprises the printer controller automatically selecting a standard media source based upon the operator-selected standard toner density setting (col. 7, lines 54-60).

According to the teachings of Mantell, it is apparent to one of ordinary skill in that art that an appropriate media type for the standard quality mode is selected/highlighted when the user selects the standard mode.

8. With respect to claim 7, Mantell discloses a printer controller configured to: automatically recognize a selection of one of a plurality settings for a first print job parameter (print quality in fig. 5); and

in response to recognizing the selection of the first print job parameter setting, automatically select one of a plurality settings for a second print job parameter (media type in col. 7, lines 54-60).

- 9. With respect to claim 8, Mantell discloses the printer controller of claim 7, wherein the first print job parameter comprises toner density and the second print job parameter comprises a source of print media (col. 7, lines 54-60).
- 10. With respect to claim 10, Mantell discloses the printer controller of claim 8, wherein the printer controller is configured to:

automatically recognize a selection of a draft toner density setting; and in response to recognizing the selection of a draft toner density setting, automatically select a source of draft print media col. 7, lines 54-60).

According to the teachings of Mantell, it is apparent to one of ordinary skill in that art that an appropriate media type for the draft quality mode is selected/highlighted when the user selects the draft mode.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,966

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantell.

11. With respect to claim 4, Mantell teaches a method for selecting a print job parameter including the steps of:

a printer controller ascertaining an operator-selected print media source setting; and

selecting a toner density setting to provide an appropriate print quality mode which matches with the media type (col. 7, lines 54-60).

As noted above in claim 1, upon selection of one of the print quality mode, the printer controller automatically selects/highlights one of the media type that is appropriate for the selected print quality mode. However, Mantell does not teach whether it can be done the other way.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to automatically select/highlight one of the print quality mode as a recommendation for the selected print media type.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to inform the user of the best match print quality mode for the selected media type.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,966 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 4.

- 12. With respect to claim 5, as noted above in claim 4 and 2, it is apparent to one of ordinary skill in that art that an appropriate print quality mode (draft mode) for the draft media type is selected/highlighted when the user selects the draft media type.
- 13. With respect to claim 9, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4, are applicable.
- 14. With respect to claim 11, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5, are applicable.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,966

Art Unit: 2625

Contact Information

Page 8

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHAN S. PARK whose telephone number is (571) 272-7409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chan S. Park Examiner Art Unit 2625

csp February 21, 2006 Chan S. Parla

DOUGLAS Q.TRAN